

Kyle FieldsCEO

Lauren Bunn

President



APPRO-R VS Industry

The Industry

Lack of transparency and integrity

Huge, public companies, fiduciary responsibility to shareholders

Misaligned incentives

300 companies, 10 systems avg development year 1984

Us

Built on Appro-Rx Family Values. Transparent, flexible

Best interest of payer and member in mind

Perfectly aligned incentives (highest efficacy, lowest cost)

Appro-Rx and Strategic Partners – Est. 2011



How PBMs Make Money

	Traditional PBM Model	Transparent PBM Model
Administration Fees (per claim, PMPM/PEPM)	√	✓
Retail Markup	✓	
Mail Markup	✓	
Manufacturer Revenue (Rebates)	√	
Purchasing and Selling Drugs		



What are the Old Facts?

Traditional PBMs have created

• A system where profits are more important that healthcare and substantial conflicts of interest.

• Contracts where Even the savviest employers often have only the minutest chance of deciphering.



What is the New Paradigm?

- Zero Spread Pricing and AWP Games
- 100% Pass Through on Pricing Zero Conflicts of Interest
- 100% Rebates Pass Through Zero Conflicts of Interest
- Clarity in Contracts Actual Transparency
- Clear and Concise Admin Fee Schedule



What is the New Paradigm?

- Formularies driven by cost-effectiveness, efficacy and Health Outcomes, not rebates.
- Flexible technology that adapts to the pharmacy program, not the other way around.
- Robust and easily customized reporting for performance data that is important to employers and the bottom line.
- Hold PBMs Accountable.



Why Appro-Rx?

A Customized, Non-Disruptive Solution Supporting Healthcare Integration

- Custom Client Formulary
- Custom Client Benefit Design
- Custom Pharmacy Network
- Disease Management



- Transparency
- Integrity
- Cost Savings





Removing Conflicts of Interest and Aligning Goals



Appro-Rx Revenue Model

Fee-For-Service: PBM Services

- Per Claim
- PMPM Per Member Per Month



Example

.=						
	GENERIC UTILIZATION			81%		For every 1% increase in Generic Utilization the ne
	TRANSPARENT PRICE SAVINGS		\$	455,392.09		Potential Spread (the difference between the PBM pharmacy contra
	Sa	vings %		16.6%		
	REBATE ESTIMATE TO PLAN		\$	58,318.75		Rebate estimate based or
1	Sa	/ings %		2.1%		
RX G AWR	GENERIC MANDATE		\$	107,013.46		Mandate generic use when available in the class
DISE GRO	Sa	ings %		3.9%		
MEN PLA AWF AVE	THERAPEUTIC SUBSTITUTION		\$	153,624.49		Savings Example: Potential savings converting Crestor, Lipitor, Nexium, F
	Sa	vings %		5.6%		
RX 0 AWR INGI	TOTAL POTENTIAL SAVINGS		\$	774,348.78		
GRC MEN PLA	Total Potential Sa	vings %		28.3%		
AVE COS	/RX 960,70 \$217.51 \$96.51 \$76.15 \$18.76 \$478.38 \$212.24	\$163.4	\$64.58			

				Single Source		Multi-Source		Single Source		Multi-Source		Single Source		Multi-Source	S	Single Source	-	fulti-Source	
RX COUNT		37.625		6.151		636		505		28.370		413		65		72	_		
			١.				١.				١.							1, 13	
AWP	\$	4,351,248.50		1,599,935.37		77,939.70	\$	76,245.18		1,932,029.05	Į\$	247,784.93	\$	17,446.14		19,741.22		380,120.92	
NGREDIENT COST	\$	2,105,481.53	\$	1,253,160.43		46,408.34	\$	37,444.10		475,574.97	5	183,009.20	\$	6,866.46	\$	11,765.87	\$	91,252.16	
DISPENSE FEE	\$	71,324.00	\$	12,302.00		1,272.00	\$	1,010.00		56,740.00	5		\$		\$		\$		
GROSS COST	\$	2,176,805.53	\$	1,265,462.43		47,680.34	\$	38,454.10		532,314.97	\$	183,009.20		6,866.46	\$	11,765.87	\$	91,252.16	
MEMBER COPAY	\$	637,417.14	\$	226,606.47		19,786.73	\$	9,120.79		307,441.10	\$	33,253.45		5,652.65		1,380.00	\$	34,175.95	
PLAN COST	\$	1,539,388.39	\$	1,038,855.96	\$	27,893.61	\$	29,333.31	\$	224,873.87	\$	149,755.75	\$	1,213.81	\$	10,385.87	\$	57,076.21	
AWP DISC		52%		22%		40%		51%		75%	П	26%		61%		40%		76%	
AVE COST / RX		\$57.86	_	\$205.73		\$74.97	_	\$76,15	_	\$18.76	_	\$443.12		\$105.64		\$163.41	_	\$64.58	
GENERIC UTILIZATIO			81%	For every 1% increase in Generic Utilization the net drug spend typically goes down by 2%.															
																	_		
TRANSPARENT PRICE SAVINGS				455,392.09	Potential Spread (the difference between the PBM pharmacy contract and the plan contract, typically retained by the PBM).														
		Savings %		16.6%															
REBATE ESTIMATE T		\$	58,318.75	Rebate estimate based on data provided.															
		Savings %		2.1%															-
GENERIC MANDATE				Mandate generic use when available in the class or the patient pays the difference.															
	Savings % 3.9%										_								
THERAPEUTIC SUBSTITUTION \$ 153,624.49						Savings Example: Potential savings converting Crestor, Lipitor, Nexium, Prevacid, and Tricor to a generical same therapeutic class.													
		Savings %		5.6%															
TOTAL POTENTIAL SAVINGS \$ 774,344																			
To	tal Pot	ential Savings %		28.3%															



\$1,150,391.82

Incumbent PBM Ingredient Cost to Client (12 Months) = \$5,638,236.37 Rebates sent to client by Incumbent PBM per contract = \$0.00 Incumbent is PBM making over \$20.00/claim in spread+rebates alone.

Appro-Rx Network and Contract Savings to Client = \$790,859.40 Appro-Rx Rebate Dollars Passed Through to Client = \$359,532.42 Total Savings to Client in 12 months = \$1,150,391.82





A Force for Good in the Healthcare Industry



Now Your Data

What are the results of our Analysis?

